CC2, Continuous Charge Approach continued

Martin Dohlus, Christoph Henning 4th December 2024

Problem

- Beam dynamics with CSR for FEL-BC-systems since about a **quarter century**.
- Validity of models is confirmed to some extend by measurements.
- **Experimental verification** is limited to currently measurable effects and realizable parameter ranges.

new developments depend on being able to access that are ranges beyond that

• **Computational verification** of models is incomplete or missing.

What is difficult?	Simplifications used today:
non linear trajectory variable bunch shape effects by glue physics	SC model (CUM) and/or CSR model (1d) "1d" model with one-bend- or, multi-bend-interaction line charge without retardation (rigid in history)
chamber surface effects: simple conductivity anomalous skin effect surface layers surface roughness	none or infinite flat (two mirrors) none

The **C**ontinuous **C**harge approach is an attempt to understand the fields of charge distributions in realistic motion in free space.

Approach

Solve the driven problem in free space, still with simplifications.

These simplifications are:

2D = motion of "source particles" in XY plane,

continuous source distribution (continuous 4D phase space),

linear optics approximation for beam dynamics,

only magnetic lattice,

no hard edges but fringe fields.

Beam dynamics with self effects is calculated in **perturbation theory**. Restriction: **gaussian** source distribution

Perturbation approach:



Calculate phase space distribution without self effects. (Solve EOM.) Calculate source terms of EM problem. (Unperturbed motion.) Solve EM problem for these source terms. Motion: treat self effects like external fields. Sample these fields at the coordinates (location and velocity) of the unperturbed motion.

flat Accelerator vs Cartesian Coordinates



The external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(X,Y,Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(X,Y,0) = \mathbf{e}_{Z}\mathbf{B}_{Z}(X,Y)$ this field is smooth (there are fringe fields)

energy and initial conditions of a reference particle

 $\mathcal{E}_{\rm r}, X_{\rm (i)}, Y_{\rm (i)}, Z_{\rm (i)} = 0, X_{\rm (i)}', Y_{\rm (i)}', Z_{\rm (i)}' = 0$ at time $t_{\rm (i)}$

 \rightarrow path length $S = (t - t_{(i)})v_r$ and reference trajectory $X_r(S), Y_r(S)$



external magnetic field in accelerator coordinates

$$B(S,x) = B_Z(X,Y) \approx B(S,0) + x\partial_x B(S,0) = \frac{p_r}{q} \left(-\varphi'(S) + xK(S)\right)$$

linear field approximation



Linear Optics

decision on the state vector $\mathcal{X} = (x, x', s, \eta)^t$ with x' = dx/dS $\eta = (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_r)/\mathcal{E}_r$

exact equation of motion

$$\frac{d}{dS}\mathcal{X} = f(S,\mathcal{X}) = \underbrace{f_{(e)}(S,\mathcal{X})}_{\approx M(S)\mathcal{X}} + f_{(s)}(S,\mathcal{X})F_{(s)}$$
Lorentz force by self fields

linear approximation without self effects
$$M(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -K - \varphi'^2 & 0 & 0 & -\varphi'/\beta_r^2 \\ \varphi' & 0 & 0 & 1/(\gamma_r \beta_r)^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

transport matrix $\mathcal{X}(S) = T(S \leftarrow A)\mathcal{X}(A)$

$$\frac{d}{dS}\mathcal{X}(S) = \frac{dT(S \leftarrow A)}{dS} (T(S \leftarrow A))^{-1} \mathcal{X}(S) = M(S)\mathcal{X}(S)$$

solve

$$\frac{dT(S \leftarrow A)}{dS} = T(S \leftarrow A)M(S)$$



Density Functions

normalized gaussian 4d de

ensity
$$g_4(\mathcal{X}, D) = \sqrt{\frac{\det D}{(2\pi)^4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{X}^t D\mathcal{X}\right)$$

with $D = C^{-1}$

the inverse of the 4D correlation matrix

initial gaussian 4d density $f(\mathcal{X}, S=0) = q_{tot}g_4(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{X}_{oi}, C_i^{-1})$ initial offset and initial 4D correlation matrix

gaussian 4d density
$$f(\mathcal{X}, S) = q_{tot} g_4 \left(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}_o(S), (C(S))^{-1} \right)$$

with $\mathcal{X}_o(S) = T(S \leftarrow S_i) \mathcal{X}_{oi}$
 $C(S) = T(S \leftarrow S_i) C_i T(S_i \leftarrow S)$

2d functions:

projection to space $J_{S}(x,s,S) = v_{r} \int f([x,x',s,\eta]^{t},S) dx' d\eta$ longitudinal 2d current density $\overline{\mathbf{v}}(x,s,S) = \frac{\int \mathbf{v}(\mathcal{X},S) f(\mathcal{X},S) dx' d\eta}{\int f(\mathcal{X},S) dx' d\eta}$ can be integrated analytically

Tabulated and Analytic Functions



tabulated versus S: $X_r(S), Y_r(S), \varphi(S), \varphi'(S), K(S), T(S \leftarrow A)$

these functions are calculated once, with high accuracy and good resolution

analytic:
$$J_{s}(x,s,S) = J_{s}(x,s,S,T(S \leftarrow A))$$

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}(x,s,S) = \overline{\mathbf{v}}(x,s,S,T(S \leftarrow A))$
 \rightarrow analytic derivatives
 $\frac{\partial J_{s}(x,s,S)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial J_{s}(x,s,S,T(S \leftarrow A))}{\partial x}$
 $\frac{\partial J_{s}(x,s,S)}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial J_{s}(x,s,S,T(S \leftarrow A))}{\partial S} + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial J_{s}(x,s,S,T(S \leftarrow A))}{\partial T_{ij}} \frac{dT_{ij}(S \leftarrow A)}{dS}$
also known are $\frac{dT_{ij}(S \leftarrow A)}{dS} = (T(S \leftarrow A)M(S))_{ij}$
 $J = \left(\frac{\partial [X,Y,t]}{\partial [x,s,S]}\right)$ Jacobi matrix

We can calculate all derivatives of Js and v (to cartesian or accelerator coordinates) with help of tabulated functions!

EM Problem: Retarded Potentials

$$\rho(x, s, z, S) = \frac{J_{S}(x, s, S)}{\overline{\mathbf{v}}(x, s, S) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{S}(S)} \delta(z)$$
$$\mathbf{J}(x, s, z, S) = \overline{\mathbf{v}}(x, s, S) \rho(x, s, z, S)$$

with $\mathbf{e}_{S}(S) = X'_{r}(S)\mathbf{e}_{X} + Y'_{r}(S)\mathbf{e}_{Y}$ $\mathbf{e}_{x}(S) = \mathbf{e}_{X} \times \mathbf{e}_{S}(S)$

only the flat part is needed

$$\rho(x,s,S) = \frac{J_{S}(x,s,S)}{\overline{\mathbf{v}}(x,s,S) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{S}(S)}$$
$$\mathbf{J}(x,s,S) = \overline{\mathbf{v}}(x,s,S)\rho(x,s,S)$$

$$V(X,Y,Z,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho\left(x\left(\hat{X},\hat{Y},\hat{t}\right),s\left(\cdots\right),S\left(\cdots\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\left(X-\hat{X}\right)^2 + \left(Y-\hat{Y}\right)^2 + Z^2}} d\hat{X}d\hat{Y}$$
$$\mathbf{A}(X,Y,Z,t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}\left(x\left(\hat{X},\hat{Y},\hat{t}\right),s\left(\cdots\right),S\left(\cdots\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\left(X-\hat{X}\right)^2 + \left(Y-\hat{Y}\right)^2 + Z^2}} d\hat{X}d\hat{Y}$$

 $\hat{t} = t - c^{-1} \sqrt{\cdots}$

retarded time

but $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{t} \rightarrow x, s, S$ is implicit and expensive



Integration via Accelerator Coordinates

eliminate s:
$$\hat{t} = \frac{S-s}{v_r} \rightarrow s(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{t}) = S - v_r(t + c^{-1}\sqrt{\cdots}) = \hat{s}$$

substitution: $\hat{X} = X(S, x)$ is explicit

$$\hat{Y} = Y(S, x)$$

$$d\hat{X}d\hat{Y} = (1 - x\varphi') dxdS$$

$$\sqrt{\dots} = \sqrt{\left(X - X(S, x)\right)^2 + \left(Y - Y(S, x)\right)^2 + Z^2} = \sqrt{a^2(S) + \left(x - b(S)\right)^2}$$

$$V(X,Y,Z,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int \rho(x,\hat{s},S) \frac{1-x\varphi'}{\sqrt{\cdots}} dxdS$$
$$\mathbf{A}(X,Y,Z,t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int \mathbf{J}(x,\hat{s},S) \frac{1-x\varphi'}{\sqrt{\cdots}} dxdS$$

derivatives to X, Y or t: for instance $\partial_X V$

$$\frac{\partial V(X,Y,Z,t)}{\partial X} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X} \Big|_{(X,\hat{S},S)} \frac{1-x\varphi'}{\sqrt{\cdots}} dx dS \qquad \text{... is known}$$

as
$$(\nabla^2 - c^{-2}\partial_t^2)(\partial_X V) = \partial_X (\nabla^2 - c^{-2}\partial_t^2)V = \partial_X (\varepsilon_0^{-1}\rho)$$

Numerical Realization

simultaneous integration of all potentials and all derivatives

$$\begin{bmatrix} V(X,Y,Z,t) \\ A(X,Y,Z,t) \\ \partial_X V(X,Y,Z,t) \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int Q(x,\hat{s},S) \frac{1-x\varphi'}{\sqrt{a^2(S) + (x-b(S))^2}} dxdS$$

with $Q(x,s,S) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_0^{-1}\rho \\ \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \\ \varepsilon_0^{-1}\partial_X\rho \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_{(x,s,S)}$

 $\rightarrow \mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \partial_t \mathbf{A}, \ \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$

- needs a 2d integration for each *X*, *Y*,*Z*,*t* point
- independent (parallel) computation of different points
- it is advantageous to start with the x integration
 - step width control is fairly simple
 - singularity ($a(S) \rightarrow 0$) needs some care
 - analytic approximation for large $\sqrt{...}$ possible
- outer (S) integration is difficult

example: uniform motion with β = 0.9 Sx Integration Range Ð observer actual shape S retarded shape × *S* integration is difficult: S S range for $S < S_0$ is stretched range for $S > S_0$ is compressed both parts might be of similar importance integral for $S \rightarrow S_{o}$ might be singular S extreme stretch possible: long compared to bunch length fringe fields × element lengths drift lengths S section length beam line ×

S

S Integration with Step Width Control = global & component wise

 \circ working horse (method for subintervals from A to B)

$$I = \int_{A}^{B} f(x) dx \approx I_{est} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n f(x_n)$$

$$\Delta I = I - I_{est} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n f(x_n)$$

Gauss-Kronrod quadrature, moderate N applicable to integrals that can be improper at the boundary

- o clever splitting of integration range into initial subintervals
 - f.i. near-interval, far-interval, fringe-intervals, bend-interval etc
 - individual interval properties as integration method substitution of integration parameter error weight
- adaptive integration by splitting of intervals
 - inheritance of interval properties
 - error estimation (→ working horse)
 - error criterion and refinement strategy global considerations component considerations noise
 - abort criterion

Tracking with Perturbation



$$\frac{d}{dS}\mathcal{X} = f(S,\mathcal{X}) = \underbrace{f_{(e)}(S,\mathcal{X})}_{\approx M(S)\mathcal{X}} + \underbrace{f_{(s)}(S,\mathcal{X})}_{\approx Q(S) + N(S)\mathcal{X}} F_{(s)}(S,\mathcal{X})$$

solve linearized equation of motion

$$\mathcal{X}_{o}(A) = \mathcal{X}_{oi} \qquad \text{initial condition (per particle)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{o}(S) = T(S \leftarrow A) \mathcal{X}_{oi} \qquad \text{unperturbed motion} \rightarrow \text{EM sources} \\ \frac{d}{dS} \mathcal{X} \approx M(S) \mathcal{X} + (Q(S) + N(S) \mathcal{X}_{o}) F_{(s)}(S, \mathcal{X}_{o}) \qquad \text{perturbed motion} \\ \downarrow$$

calculate beam dynamics properties

as projected emittance, slice emittance, slice energy spread

Example

several setups have been calculated:

- 4 magnet BC chicane
 - Zeuthen benchmark BC, 500 MeV, 511 MeV, 5 GeV, 5.11 GeV
 - $\circ~$ XFEL CW BC2
 - o Flash2020 BC1, BC2
- T20 beamline with combinded function magnet (XFEL)
- undulator (Pitz)

1) calculation of EM quantities:

retarded potentials and derivatives have been calculated on a xsS-grid typically 100 .. 1000 points in S direction (along structure) typically 500 .. 1000 xs-gridpoints (on smallest rectangle around 4σ ellipse)

2) perturbation tracking with interpolated field

 \rightarrow beam dynamics properties

however, I am only showing one few curves for one example



benchmark BC @ 5 GeV: scalar potential and E-field before 1st magnet, no vertical offset

horizontal optics: waist is at exit of $BC \rightarrow$ beam is convergent at entrance





waist at entrance

waist at exit



complete calculation: different horizontal optics

frustrating: the neglected vertical optics can cause similar effects

full beam parameters at exit due to self effect from "0" to S



center slice parameters at exit due to self effect from "0" to S

