IBS measurements and simulations

Sergey Tomin

DESY TEMF collaboration

01.05.2023







European XFEL

We began a campaign to validate simulation with an experiment:





We began a campaign to validate simulation with experiment:

Experimental validation of collective effects modeling at EuXFEL injector



We began a campaign to validate simulation with experiment:
 Experimental validation of collective effects modeling at EuXFEL injector
 Wakefield energy losses in undulator



S. Tomin, N. Lockmann, T. Wohlenberg, I. Zagorodnov, Wakefield Energy Losses in the Undulator Section of the European XFEL, IPAC23, TUPL017 24 undulator cells 314m pipe 4 helical undulator cells -10 -20 -30 -20 -30 -20 -10 -10 -30 -20 -10 -10 -20 -30 -20 -10 -10 -20 -30 -20 -10 -20 -30 -20 -10 -20 -30 -20 -10 -20 -30 -20 -10 -20 -30





4

5

Motivation

We began a campaign to validate simulation with experiment:
 Experimental validation of collective effects modeling at EuXFEL injector
 Wakefield energy losses in undulator
 Quantum diffusion measurements



S.Tomin, E.Schneidmiller, W. Decking, First measurement of energy diffusion in an electron beam due to quantum fluctuations in the undulator radiation, Scientific Reports 13, 1605 (2023)



Theory: E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller, M.V. Yurkov,



We began a campaign to validate simulation with experiment:

Experimental validation of collective effects modeling at EuXFEL injector

- Wakefield energy losses in undulator
- Quantum diffusion measurements
 - **Energy spread measurement**



The energy spread for a bunch charge of 250 pC is 5.9 ± 0.1 keV. This number is approximately 3 times lower then the energy spread of 14.8 ± 0.6 keV reported by SwissFEL for the bunch charge of 200 pC [1]

S. Tomin et al, Accurate measurement of uncorrelated energy spread in electron beam, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24, 064201 (2021)



1. E. Prat, P. Dijkstal, E. Ferrari, A. Malyzhenkov, and S. Reiche, High resolution dispersion-based measurement of the electron beam energy spread, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23, 090701 (2020).

Energy spread measurements: theory



$$\sigma_E^{\rm IBS} = \sqrt{\frac{2r_e^2 N_b}{\epsilon_n}} \int \frac{ds}{\sigma_x \sigma_z} = 2 \text{ keV}$$

Z. Huang, Intrabeam Scattering in an X-ray FEL Driver, SLAC Report No. SLAC-TN-05-026, 2002.

E. Gjonaj et al, Intrabeam Scattering Effects in the Electron Injector of the European XFEL, FEL2022, WEP14

"The simulations including IBS effects predict a (central slice) SES of roughly **2 keV** for the E-XFEL injector."







IBS Discussion: experimental works

- S Di Mitri et al, Experimental evidence of intrabeam scattering in a free-electron laser driver, New J. Phys. 22 (2020) 083053
- E. Prat, P. Dijkstal, E. Ferrari, A. Malyzhenkov, and S. Reiche, High resolution dispersion-based measurement of the electron beam energy spread, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23, 090701 (2020). → 14.8 keV@ 100-430 MeV
- S.Tomin et al, Accurate measurement of uncorrelated energy spread in electron beam, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24, 064201 (2021) → 5.9 keV @130 MeV
- H. Qian, et al, Slice energy spread measurement in the low energy photoinjector, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 25, 083401 (2022).
 1.65 keV @20 MeV
- E.Prat et al, Energy spread blowup by intrabeam scattering and microbunching at the SwissFEL injector, PRAB 25, 104401 (2022). "For the standard 200-pC case, we have shown that the energy spread can be improved from up to 14 keV to about 6 keV by reducing the R56 of the two chicanes and further down to about 4 keV by additionally increasing the lattice β function. These 4 keV may be related to remaining IBS, space-charge, or cathode effects."





IBS measurements in the EuXFEL



We tried to measure SES in the Injector and after BC2, but due to technical issues we could not succeed

However we measured SES in the Injector

- The main differences between two measurements in the injector
 - New gun cathode

Optics?

Reduced gun gradient

European XFEL

Reduced current of the solenoid

Stuart Walker

Variable	Feb. 2021	Nov. 2022	Unit
σ_E	5.8(1)	4.3(1)	keV
σ_I	68.0(1)	64.0(8)	μm
σ_B	29.0(6)	28.0(4)	μm
σ_R	28.0(8)	27.0(5)	μm
ε_n	0.38(2)	0.34(9)	$\mathrm{mm} \cdot \mathrm{mrad}$





IBS simulations. Outlook

- Erion's simulation results for PSI indicate that our measurements might be explained by IBS
- We need support from Erion for IBS simulations
 Couple of weeks ago we sent our lattice
- Measurements of SES in injector with different parameters R56?
- Measurements of SES in injector and B2D at the same experimental run



From Erion Gjonaj talk on S2E seminar at DESY, March 27

